	Case 2:98-cv-00761-MJP Document 1	L000 Filed 12/02/05	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
10	COLOMON WILLIAMS -4 -1	I	
11	SOLOMON WILLIAMS, et al.,	No. C98-761P	
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DEN'	
13	V.	LIMINE TO E	
14	THE BOEING COMPANY, et al.,		ND RELATED
15	Defendants.	MATERIALS	
16	This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' motion in limine to exclude		
17	compensation analyses and related materials. (Dkt. No. 941). The Court DENIES Defendants'		
18	motion.		
19	Because compensation discrimination claims are no longer included in this proceeding,		
20	Defendants argue that the Court should bar evidence relating to Boeing projects designed to		
21	analyze Boeing's compensation and salary-setting process. Defendants identify 29 specific		
22	documents that it seeks to exclude. Plaintiffs maintain that it would be improper to bar an entire		
23	category of documents and argue that many of the specific documents identified by Defendants'		
24	contain information that may be relevant to their promotion discrimination claim. Plaintiffs		
25	maintain that they do not intend to publish to the jury portions of the documents that do not		
26			
	ORDER PAGE – 1		

relate to promotion discrimination claims.

The Court cannot determine the admissibility of the documents that Defendants seek to exclude without a proper context and without considering the purpose for which such documents may be offered. Therefore, Defendants' motion is denied. With respect to the documents identified in Defendants' motion, Plaintiffs should identify which of the exhibits will be offered by which witness and make appropriate redactions. Sufficient notice should be given so that the Court can review redacted documents prior to each witnesses' testimony.

The clerk is directed to send copies of this order to all counsel of record.

Dated: December 2, 2005.

s/Marsha J. Pechman

Marsha J. Pechman United States District Judge

ORDER PAGE - 2